National Alliance BULLETIN

POST OFFICE BOX 172 • LAUREL BLOOMERY • TN 37680 • USA • NATALL.COM

June 2018

Tennessee Park Gathering

In last month's **BULLETIN** it was mentioned how pro-White groups had been having success meeting in Tennessee state parks, because, as SPLC hate expert Heidi "Boo Hoo" Beirich lamented, "the state has to rent to them." She would prefer that any such meetings be disrupted and shut down by the anti-White antifa thugs, as they were in the past when such gatherings were held in commercial venues that were vulnerable to threats of boycotts and demonstrations. Our West Virginia Chief of Staff Jay Hess and another National Alliance staffer attended what was billed as the National Solutions Conference in a state park near Nashville, Tennessee, on 16 June. Their report:

We were invited to the conference by friend Rick Tyler who is running for Governor of Tennessee this year. He may be best known for running for US Congress in Tennessee in 2016 with the campaign slogan, "Make America White Again." Mr. Tyler was one of the featured speakers along with such luminaries as Drs. Kevin McDonald, Don Wassall, Virginia Abernethy, David Duke, Michael Hill, Tom Sunic; and others, including Dominic Luthard, representing the Swiss National Party, and the First Vice-President of Japan, Makoto Sakura. Another speaker was Patrick Little. He recently ran for the US Senate in California and finished 13th in a slate of 36 candidates, garnering around 60,000 votes, using the campaign slogan, "Name the Jew."

Approximately 175 people attended and around 100 law enforcement officers and park rangers in three shifts provided tight security, with checkpoints, x-ray detectors, canine officers, horses, and some in full tactical gear. The officers were very congenial and we all ate together at the park's nice hotel restaurant, both law enforcement and attendees! Worthless antifa freaks numbered fewer than ten and were kept at least 100 yards away from guests at all times.

A good number of attendees approached the table we set up with National Alliance books and other material. A couple of former members indicated that they intended to rejoin; they weren't aware that the Alliance was still active!

This teaches us an important lesson. There are people out there who will be attracted to the Alliance and who want to support us but don't know that we are alive and renewed under competent leadership. This is where our active members can be most helpful: Get the positive Alliance message out there to our White public, especially face-to-face where folks who agree with our values gather — even if just handing out one of our business cards for later reference. We planted plenty of seeds by freely distributing our booklet *Building a New White World* to select conference attendees and even to some of the park rangers and LE security team.

Alliance Founder's Early Years

Our enemies like to use the words "Nazi" or "neo-Nazi" as smear words for National Socialism and those who espouse it, but almost never use the neutral and accurate descriptor "National Socialist." And because William Pierce, the founder of the National Alliance, got his first start in serious racial nationalism with George Lincoln Rockwell, he is still referred to as a "Nazi" by those enemies. Dr. Pierce was the founder and editor of the World Union of National Socialists' ideological journal *National Socialist World*, beginning in 1966.

Commander Rockwell's party's name was officially changed from the American Nazi Party (ANP) to the National


THIS CARD CERTIFIES THAT
WILLIAM L. PIERCE
No: N-0298
Expires: 31 DEC 1970
Ht 76" Wt 200 Hair Br Eyes Gr
IS A DUES-PAYING MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL SOCIALIST WHITE PEOPLE'S PARTY AND IS SUBJECT TO FULL PARTY DISCIPLINE
NATIONAL LEADER
As a Member of the National Socialist White People's Party, I pledge myself to the unconditional support of the Party's goals and objectives, which are:
- An Aryan America
- Aryan World Solidarity
- A New Social Order
- An Honest Economy
- Aryan Self-Defense
- Government by Leaders
- A Spiritual Rebirth
- An Aryan Culture
- A Healthy Environment
- A Better Race

Signature:
NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED

Socialist White People's Party (NSWPP) on 1 January, 1967; Rockwell was assassinated in August of that year. Dr. Pierce continued to work with the NSWPP, under Commander Matt Koehl, and officially became a member and served as the top Ideological Officer of the NSWPP. He had never actually joined the ANP as a member. Although Dr. Pierce's NSWPP membership card, # N-0298, (pictured, showing Dr. Pierce at age 36) shows an expiration date of 31 December, 1970, by then he had already been working with others, including Dr. Revilo P. Oliver, toward launching a new, more Americanized organization named the National Youth Alliance (NYA), which evolved in 1974 into the National Alliance we know today. The first issue of NYA's tabloid *Attack!* was published in 1969, and by issue number two he was named editor under the *nom de guerre* Luther Williams — Luther being his middle name. You will note on WLP's previously unpublished membership card that the NSWPP goals, which its members pledged to support nearly 50 years ago, are not unlike the ideals to which National Alliance members pledge their support today.

Legal Update

Chairman Williams attended another pre-trial hearing in West Virginia on 13 June, preceding the scheduled 22 June trial to appeal the misdemeanor conviction concerning a battery that hostile and unhinged Alliance enemy Garland Corse alleges to have occurred in 2015. The outside Special Prosecutor that Williams' accuser demanded of the Circuit Court asked for yet another continuance — this time so he could chaperone his daughter and her girlfriends on a special beach trip to North Carolina on 22 June. The Special Prosecutor's request was granted.

So, yet another pre-trial hearing is now scheduled for 25 July, with the appeal trial date now moved back to 9 August. Chairman Williams thinks perhaps the 11th West Virginia Judicial District does not want him to testify in open court about the motives of his accuser to charge him with battery, or, as she has claimed repeatedly, "attempted homicide." The state has no witnesses to this alleged battery and no evidence, whereas defendant Williams has plenty of proof of the significant role his accuser played during the last three years in the two failed coup attempts to seize Alliance assets using the civil lawsuits — first *NARRG v. NA*, then *John McLaughlin v. NA*. It's abuse of the judicial process, at the least, with perjured prosecution "witnesses," false filings to

National Alliance member Jim Mathias, right, with his close friend and comrade Will Williams, Chairman of the National Alliance.

courts and to law enforcement, faked, non-date-stamped "selfie" photographs, theft of Alliance property, etc., but it has been difficult so far to get any of this admitted into evidence in this misdemeanor battery case. The word is now, after Williams had declined two previous plea deals in the past two years, that he will be offered "time served" with the battery conviction in Magistrate court reduced to either "disorderly conduct" or "disturbing the peace." To that our Chairman says, "No thank you. Let's go to trial."

Just as this issue of the **BULLETIN** was going to press Chairman Williams received this update from Alliance member Jim Mathias, whose legal issues with his pamphleteering have been covered in these pages since last summer:

On June 28, I was sentenced to five years in prison and that sentence was suspended. Three years of probation were imposed, effective immediately.

Also, the judge imposed an order that I get a mental health evaluation, one that was recommended by the Pre-Sentence Investigator (PSI), a state employee who operates out of offices run by the Iowa Department of Corrections. What's odd is that she has no credentials as a mental health professional. She based her opinion on the fact that I chose my words carefully ("parsed" was the word she used) and that I was "socially isolated" because I claimed to not have "close friends." I asked her what "close friends" meant and she said "person or persons that talk to one another about everything." Well, I have friends but I don't talk to anyone about everything and I doubt anyone does. So I answered her stupid question truthfully and openly. My attorney brought up the fact that I indeed have friends, that there's nothing wrong with choosing one's words carefully. He added that the PSI admitted to having no credentials in the mental health field. Also, I listed a few friends as references in the document the PSI asked me to have filled out prior to the interview. However, the judge seemed to take no notice of these facts and proceeded to order an evaluation anyway. At least I get to choose my "doctor" and will not be forced into the tender care of a Jew.

A friend of mine said that it appears they wanted to shut my Alliance activity down by putting me

under their thumb for as long as possible — and also to impute some mental health issue to make me seem "crazy." But I'm not discouraged from Alliance activity at all — in fact, just the opposite. What I experienced was raw corruption here — politics played in the courtroom. I'm reminded of the Soviet practice (under their Article 58 of the Penal Code if memory serves) under which enemies of the state who opposed that Jewish-dominated regime were also labeled "crazy" and institutionalized — or simply made to disappear... In any event, my attorney did file the notice of appeal. I'm still in the fight and will be until the end. With my best regards, — *Jim*

Correspondence

Dear National Alliance: It's been a while. I just read about the changes in Alliance leadership and things look like they are going well. I feel hope again — that same hope I had the first time I heard Dr. Pierce speak. I'm grateful for you carrying on. — *R.C., Arizona*

Hello Chairman Williams, I'm happy to be joining the National Alliance organization. A number of years ago I was designated — I think — a "probationary" member. It was my understanding that it was supposed to last for 12 months or something like that. Then I left at the point the National Alliance became a non-member organization [2012]. That's what I recall anyway. After the National Alliance became a member organization again I began considering joining it, so sent in my application recently to do so. I'll be available to receive your call. Thank you very much. — *T.A., California*

Chairman Williams responds: It's good to have you back as a member, T.A. Welcome. After reading your resume and talking with you on the phone, there is no reason for you to be on any "probation" in our organization. We don't have time for that. Our urgent need is to attract more attorneys with MBA degrees, like you, who order NA business cards 500 at a time!

Racial greetings, Chairman Williams. I just received this month's **BULLETIN** and read your handwritten note. I absolutely want to keep my membership because I sincerely believe in what the NA stands for. If possible I'll try to visit West Virginia when you'll be there. I had a fantastic meeting and engaging conversation last night here with Drs. S. and L., and was very inspired. It's a wonderful feeling to meet two sincere, intelligent White Alliance men who are so devoted to the cause of saving our heritage and race. I want to thank you for your time as well, as I can only imagine how busy you are. Have a great weekend and I look forward to coming down to West Virginia to meet and help out Jay. Sincerely, — *B.A., Ohio*

Hello, I live on Florida's "Space Coast." I am originally from Cleveland, Ohio where I attended many local National Alliance meetings in the 1990s. It was so disappointing to watch the gradual decline of the National Alliance after Dr. Pierce's death in 2002. Under Erich Gliebe's leadership I heard that the membership declined to about 25 members (me being among the 25 diehards). I believe that under our new leadership we are, once again, on an upward path. I've been passing out fliers, business cards, and put an ad in a local paper. I appreciate any advice you can give on recruiting, and would like to meet with like-minded persons in central Florida to form or to be a part of a local NA unit. — *J.G., Florida*

Chairman Williams responds: One of our pressing needs is to have a nearly full-time Membership Coordinator on staff to drive recruiting and to build our Alliance network like we did in the early 1990s, except on turbo-drive, using the Internet and other multi-media technologies. We need to convert many more of the thousands of visitors to nationalvanguard.org, for example, into committed Alliance members or supporters than we are managing presently. Continue what you are doing, J.G., and be patient. Together we'll soon build up the central Florida region again. People have to learn that the National Alliance is here for them, and that we urgently need their help.

Chairman Williams: I will be sending a few additional dollars to help Jim Mathias with his legal battle. I will send these funds with my next dues statement. It won't be much, but it's the best I can do at this time and I want Jim to know that there are members that support him. Respectfully, — *G.W., Virginia*

Commentary by Chairman William White Williams

Standing Up for What's Right, Legally

It was a mild shock to Alliance member Jim Mathias and to me to learn in January — four months after the fact — that he had been charged with a felony weapons offense as a pretext because he could *not* be charged with distributing perfectly legal, Constitutionally-protected National Alliance fliers. That there would be some desperate act on the part of our enemies was not unexpected, however — as we have observed the Southern Poverty Law Center's (SPLC) focused interest in the persistent, daily National Alliance literature distribution in Eastern Iowa since last summer, and that anti-White group's driving the local electronic and print media attacks on us there ever since. Those stories often featured quotes from SPLC "hate experts," and always mentioned the National Alliance as a premier, dangerous "hate group." Why should the SPLC dirty its hands in court against the National Alliance anymore when they can get state courts and local officials to do their dirty deeds for them?

It is becoming a dangerous world out there today, and for a racially conscious White man to not be armed and prepared to protect what's his borders on irresponsibility. Jim is a responsible gun owner and has had his concealed carry permit for a sidearm for more than six years. He has also been self-employed for 16 years with a client list of people who appreciate his construction skills, and the maintenance and repair work he does for them. Now he has been sentenced to five years in prison, three years suspended as long as he meets regularly with a probation officer; doesn't drink alcohol or consume any drugs (?); and submits to DNA testing and mental evaluation (??). Jim is as sane and sober a man as one would want to meet. He carries his holstered sidearm wherever it is legal to carry it, and knows how to use it if necessary. The judge who sentenced Jim also required that he seek gainful employment, ostensibly so his wages can be garnished by the court to pay the $750 fine that was imposed. More control!

We need more members like G.W. in Virginia lending their support to Jim. We'll see that Jim's $750 fine is covered by his friends — the friends that the ignorant court officer testified that Jim doesn't have. Jim has borne the full cost of his defense to this point. If he is not pleased with the court-ordered attorney assigned to handle his appeal, the National Alliance and its supporters will assist in retaining a more suitable attorney for that. Make donations to the National Alliance, with explicit memo for "Jim Mathis defense."

It's difficult for White Americans who still have faith in the US justice system to accept that that system can be so corrupted as to convict a true patriot like Jim of a felony with such a flimsy, contrived pretext of criminality. But it is so corrupted. We will see more of this.

I will forego further commentary this month to remind Alliance members of the National Alliance policies

and guidelines Dr. Pierce gave us in chapter five of our *National Alliance Membership Handbook* for dealing with the law and the courts while we work to get our urgent message out to long-suffering Whites. We will update and republish the *Handbook* as soon as we have the funds and the numbers to justify the expense. **W. W. W.**

The National Alliance and the Law: Introduction

The ideology and program of the National Alliance set it in direct opposition to the present government of the United States and to the governments of most other White countries. These governments are based on an egalitarian ideology, and their programs are racially destructive. In most cases they are under strong Jewish influence. Our program [Chapter 3] leads ultimately to the replacement of all of these governments, and this inevitability will bring us into conflicts with those persons who have a vested interest.

The National Alliance's leaders have deliberately kept these conflicts to a minimum in the past. As the National Alliance grows and becomes more effective at reaching large numbers of people with our message, however, the opportunities for conflict will multiply. The present policy of the National Alliance is to continue to avoid conflicts in most cases. It is essential for every member to understand this policy and to conduct himself accordingly.

The reason for our policy is strictly a practical one: We must focus our energy on tasks that we can accomplish and not waste it on unproductive efforts. It is unproductive to attempt to reform the present government or its laws, and it is unproductive to engage in a contest of arms with the government's enforcers.

During the 1970s and 1980s the Alliance made several attempts to use the courts as an arena for opposing the US government's policies. In every case the effort wasted valuable resources and produced no useful results — other than giving us an expensive education in the workings of the US judicial system. We learned two things from this experience.

First, the system is terminally corrupt; judges, lawyers, bureaucrats, and the government's secret police agents (as well as a growing number of state and local, uniformed policemen) are wholly cynical people, without a shred of honor, decency, or racial loyalty among them. Later this will be helpful to us, because these people believe in nothing and are loyal to nothing, and so will be easier to overcome than principled men would be.

Second, in a system designed primarily to enrich lawyers, we will never have enough money to buy justice: Our opponents will always be able to outbid us.

To elaborate on these lessons: Among those who share many of our views there are some who still do not understand the nature of governments and laws in this era. They believe that the law has some life of its own and can be appealed to over the heads of the lawyers and judges who administer it. They do not understand that we are ruled by men, not laws, and that laws only mean what those who administer them say they mean.

Thus we have the ridiculous spectacle of grown men claiming that they don't have to pay income taxes because some amendment to the US Constitution wasn't properly ratified 100 years ago and expecting their carefully researched legal arguments to protect them in court against the Internal Revenue Service. We also hear people claiming that by refusing to have a Social Security number they are protecting certain civil rights which otherwise would be surrendered to the government.

They do not understand the simple facts that we must pay taxes because the Internal Revenue Service has at its disposal more armed men to force us to pay taxes than we have to resist its claim against us; and that the only "rights" anyone has are those he is ready and able to defend by force of arms against all who would deny them to him.

As for opposing the government by force of arms, let us understand clearly that we cannot compete with the government's forces in this regard now and will not be able to do so in the near future. More than this, it is the sheerest folly to adopt a program depending in any way on systematic or sustained illegal activity, violent or non-violent, because such a program inevitably will draw us into a premature clash with the government's enforcers, and we will lose. No matter how galling it will be to us, no matter how wrong the laws and corrupt the system which they serve, and no matter how morally justified we would be in resisting them, we must base our program on legality at this time.

Our present policy of legality does not blind us to the fact that ultimately there will come a time when this policy must change: Ultimately, the replacement of the values now governing the White world with our values cannot come legally or peacefully, because the present values are wholly antithetical to ours, and the people whose interests are tied to the present values will have nothing to lose by using all the force at their disposal to oppose us. Furthermore, the moral decay of the White masses and their integration into the "multicultural" morass has proceeded so far, especially in American cities, that it is nearly inconceivable that they can be persuaded to separate themselves and change their behavior by any peaceful or non-violent means. One of our responsibilities is to do whatever is necessary in the meantime to ensure that when the showdown comes and our enemies drop all pretense of legality, the force at our disposal is greater than theirs.

A more urgent and immediate responsibility is to deter our opponents' use of their force while it still exceeds ours. Our policy of legality by itself will be insufficient for this purpose. Even now many examples could be cited of their use of flimsy pretexts to use force against dissident organizations and individuals who also have had policies of legality. As the tide of unrest rises and the specter of lamppost retribution looms larger before them, they certainly will grasp for ever flimsier pretexts.

The only defense we can hope to use against their illegality is a loud voice. They must be made to know that they cannot silence us with any single blow, and that any illegal move they make against us will be widely and repeatedly publicized. In other times and places an independent press served to keep governments honest — or, at least, to inhibit to a certain extent their use of arbitrary force. In the United States today, and in many other White countries as well, the news media are under such tight Jewish control that they incite government to illegality rather than inhibit it.

Today a search warrant can be obtained by the secret police on the strength of a paid informant's perjured statement that he overheard talk of illegal activity or saw what he believed to be an unregistered firearm. With this warrant the secret police can raid an organization's headquarters, seize its equipment and records, and arrest its leaders. If they are lucky and energetic, the leaders may regain their freedom and eventually get their equipment and records back. They may even be able to establish in court that the warrant was obtained on insufficient evidence and that the government exceeded the scope of the warrant. By that time, however, they probably will have exhausted their resources, and their organization will be crippled — which, of course, was the government's objective. And if the public reads anything at all about the matter in the newspapers, it will be to the effect that a dangerous conspiracy has been thwarted by the fearless forces of law and order. What the public will not even suspect is that those forces were fearless only because they knew that their illegal actions would not be exposed to public scrutiny and criticism.

An organization cannot safeguard itself against such a blow by having a large supply of firearms or similar weapons, but if the organization has the means to inform the public of every illegality and impropriety committed against it, the government will be far less willing to commit those illegalities and improprieties. Thus, our aim of developing our capability to reach the White public with our message through every available medium is not only an educational goal; it is also a necessity for organizational survival.

In summary, the dual policy of the National Alliance is to follow a course of legality and avoid unnecessary conflicts with the government as long as possible, and to count primarily on our ability to reach the White public effectively with our message as a protection against the government's illegality. Our policy of legality, however, does not rule out the possibility that we may sometimes choose public civil disobedience as a medium for our message.

Guidelines for Members

Detailed counsel cannot be provided here to members for every possible case in which a conflict may arise between their Alliance activities and the government. The following guidelines should be relevant to most cases, however:

• Don't take a belligerent or confrontational stance toward the government or its police agencies. Keep your interactions with them polite and minimal.

• *Before* engaging in any activity which you have reason to suspect might lead to a confrontation with the police, acquaint yourself with the relevant statutory and case law. If the matter seems to warrant it, seek local legal advice or contact the

National Office for advice. Don't blunder into illegal activity through ignorance of the law.

• When you believe that the government is acting illegally in some matter involving your Alliance activity — e.g., restraining you in some activity you believe is protected by law, or confiscating your property without proper process — do not engage in threat or bluster. You may cite what you think are the relevant statutes and/or court decisions, and you may tell a policeman that you believe he is acting illegally and that you intend to hold him personally accountable. In an extreme case, and if you are prepared for a trip to jail, you may even challenge him either to cease and desist or to arrest you. As a general rule, however, you should not resist after a policeman tells you that you are under arrest.

• Don't use your Alliance membership to justify any sustained or systematic illegal activity: e.g., raising funds for Alliance work by illegal means. Don't think you can justify not paying your taxes, for example, by sending an equivalent amount to the National Office. Over the long run, the risk involved in such activity is not worth the gain.

• Don't talk to anyone about illegal activity, even in a frivolous way. The government's most dangerous weapon against patriots is the conspiracy charge. If you have some ideas about what ought to be done to specific government officials, keep those ideas to yourself. Many men have gone to prison for nothing more than fantasizing aloud in the wrong company about illegal activity.

• Don't let yourself be bullied by the forces of Political Correctness into refraining from activities which are not illegal. The controlled media deliberately foster the notion in the public mind that "racism," for example, is in some way illegal. They regularly sensationalize cases in which an athletic team owner or a judge or some other quasi-public or public official is "disciplined" for telling a joke about Blacks or expressing a personal dislike of Jews. They lead the public to believe that the "discipline" is statutory and could be applied to anyone for the same "offense." Know your rights and don't be afraid to exercise them.

• Be especially careful in the matter of firearms. Patriots are especially susceptible to being snared by firearms violations. There may be cases where unconstitutional state or local laws must be violated in order to safeguard one's person, dependents, or property, but in these cases extreme caution should be used. It is almost never the case that a member should risk having unregistered machine guns, hand grenades, silencers, or other weapons of the sort which might warrant action by the secret police. People who collect such weapons do so more often for fantasy reasons than for a serious and justified purpose, and members generally cannot afford such dangerous fantasies.

• In any case where you might violate a law were you not an Alliance member, keep in mind that as a member your freedom is more valuable than a non-member's freedom. You have a special responsibility which you did not have before you became a member, and you should not take unnecessary risks which may jeopardize your ability to do your Alliance work.

• Never counsel someone else to violate a law you are not willing to violate yourself.

• If you are ever charged with a violation of a law, whether the charge is valid or not, there is one rule to follow: *Say nothing*. If you trust the police or throw yourself on the mercy of the government, you almost certainly will regret it. You may know that you are guilty, but you should remember that a prosecutor must prove to a jury you are guilty. That is often a more difficult task than you might imagine, especially if you have a competent and energetic defense attorney, but virtually anything you say will make the prosecutor's task easier.

• Don't assume that the National Office can help you in the event of legal difficulty. Beyond offering advice of a very general nature, the National Office usually cannot provide assistance, either in the form of legal counsel or monetary help, for a member who is arrested or encounters some other difficulty in connection with his Alliance activity. There is no attorney on the National Office staff, and we have no legal defense fund for members. There may be exceptions to this rule — the National Office or a Local Unit may make an explicit commitment beforehand to provide assistance to members volunteering for an activity which may result in fines or bail bonds — but as a general rule members should not expect legal assistance from the National Office.